

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
WESTERN DIVISION

WILLIAM SCOTT DAVIS, JR.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
TOWN OF CARY, NC, *et al.*,)
 Defendants.)

5:08-CV-176-BO

WILLIAM SCOTT DAVIS, JR.,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
SCOTT L. WILKINSON,)
 Defendant.)

5:11-CV-31-BO

WAKE COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
WILLIAM SCOTT DAVIS, JR.,)
 Defendant.)

5:12-CV-413-BO


ORDER

This matter is before the Court on motions by William Scott Davis Jr., *pro se*, to reopen these closed cases and to amend the complaints. Each of these cases has been dismissed, judgment has been entered, and in some cases appeals have been taken and mandate has issued. Mr. Davis' current filings, which are at best difficult to decipher, do not appear to provide the Court with any basis upon which to reopen these matters or permit amendment of the complaint.

Moreover, a pre-filing injunction has been entered against Mr. Davis, *see Davis v. Mitchell*, 5:12-CV-493-F (E.D.N.C. March 3, 2014), and it would appear that Mr. Davis is attempting to use reopening of these cases and amending the complaints as a method by which to avoid the pre-filing injunction.¹ The Court will not sanction such action.

Accordingly, the motions to reopen case and to amend the complaints in each of the above-captioned cases are DENIED. The Court will consider critically any future filings by Mr. Davis in which it is apparent that he is merely seeking to avoid the pre-filing injunction.

SO ORDERED, this 30 day of June, 2016.


TERRENCE W. BOYLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ A pre-filing injunction has also been issued against Mr. Davis in the Eastern District of Virginia. *Davis v. Jawaorski*, No. 4:13-CV-63 (E.D.Va. November 14, 2013).